

(b) if so, the extent of land that is likely to be submerged and whether it is wet land growing valuable crops like Paddy, Coffee ;

(c) the estimated financial loss on account of this submersion ?

A.—Sri H. K. VEERANNA GOWDH (Minister for Public Works and Electricity).—

(a) Some areas in Coorg district will come under submersion under Harangi and Kambadakada Reservoirs and none in Coorg in the case of Hemavathi.

The Lakshmarathirtha Project is not yet finalised.

(b) The following are the extents of land that will come under submersion, all of which are in Coorg district :

Name of Project	In acres				
	Coffee Plantation (Cardamom, Orange, etc.)	Wet lands	Private dry lands	Government waste lands	Total submergence
(i) Harangi	1478	1074	2014	444	5010
(ii) Kambadakada.	255	1155	436	4754	6600

(c) There is no financial loss. The net return to Government on the capital investment is estimated to be of the order of 4.7% and 4.8% for Harangi and Kambadakada Projects, respectively.

LAND IRRIGATED UNDER KRISHNA RIVER.

Q.—81 Sri M. C. NARASIMHAN (K.G.F.).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(a) the cost per acre in the case of each one of the Major Irrigation Projects across the Krishna River and its tributaries (now actually undertaken and proposed to be undertaken in the Third Plan) ;

(b) the area proposed to be irrigated under each one of the Projects proposed and actually undertaken across the Krishna river and its tributaries ?

A.—Sri H. K. VEERANNA GOWDH (Minister for Public Works and Electricity).—

(a) *Vide statement appended—Column 3.*

(b) *Vide statement appended—Column 4.*

(Sri H. K. VEERANNA GOWDE)

STATEMENT.

File Reply to Q. No. 81

Sl. No.	Names of Major Irrigation Projects in Krishna basin.	Cost as per acre in Rs.	Area proposed to be irrigated (in acres).	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5
<i>Projects actually undertaken:</i>				
1.	Bhadra Reservoir Project	1,224.00	2,44,663	Cost on the basis of irrigation portion only.
2.	Thungabhadra Project—Left Bank and Right Bank Irrigation Portion (Except High Level Canal on the Right Bank).	638.20*	6,71,854	Area irrigated in Mysore State.
3.	Ghataprabha Project—Stage I.	454	1,20,000	
4.	Ghataprabha Project—Stage II.	740.00	1,78,000	III Stage of Ghataprabha which is not yet proposed to be taken would add another 3 lakhs acres bringing the total to 5.98 lakhs acres.
5.	Thungabhadra Project High Level Canal—Stage I.	318	70,339**	II Stage of High Level Canal which is not yet proposed to be taken up would bring the total to 1,36,500 acres, in Mysore.
6.	Malaprabha Project.	667	3,00,000	
Total ...			15,84,856 acres	

*(Average for Andhra Pradesh and Mysore together).

**(Mysore portion only).

1	2	3	4	5
<i>Projects proposed to be undertaken in the Third Five-Year Plan:</i>				
1. Upper Krishna Project.	891	5,33,000	Stage II & III which are not yet proposed to be taken up would bring the total irrigation on the project to 8.5 and 12.00 lakhs acres respectively.	
		Total...	5,33,000	
		Grand Total...	21,17,856	

CHAIR'S RULING RE : ALLOTMENT OF DAYS FOR NON-OFFICIAL BUSINESS, ETC.

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER.—Yesterday after the question-hour, Hon'ble Members Sri M. C. Narasimhan, Sri Sreenivasa Shetty and several others raised the question of the duration of the present series of sittings of the Assembly and insisted that it was not permissible for the Session to adjourn at the conclusion of the business set down for today.

Sri M. C. Narasimhan referred to the first proviso to rule 28. Sri Srinivasa Shetty referred to the allotment of days for the several resolutions mentioned in the Report of the Committee on private Members' Bills and Resolutions.

Stated shortly, Sri M. C. Narasimhan's contention was that under the first proviso to Rule 28 not less than 2 days for every 14 days of Government business should be allotted for private members' business, that nearly two months had been taken up by Government business and not less than 8 days should be allotted for non-official business, and that though four days had so far been allotted for this kind of business, even that was proposed to be cut down to two, which was not in order and was beyond the powers of the Speaker.....

The Hon'ble Member, Sri Srinivasa Shetty contended that the Report of the Committee on private Members' Business had specifically mentioned dates—25, 26, 27, 28, that this report had been adopted by the House and that therefore the House must be deemed to have agreed to sit up to the 28th and that it was therefore not permissible for the Speaker to adjourn the House today.